G. R. S. IX-5]

*Previous references.*
LR 38-78
" 4-94

1897-1898

~~191~~ .

(Land Revenue)

File No. 51 of 1897.

Serial Nos. 1-26

*Later references.*
LR 28-98
" 56-00

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

---

*Serial Nos.* 1-26

Countermarked

Major ~~Part~~ B for October 1900.

---

SUBJECT.

Introduction of Mirasi Rules to Kayamgutta villages.

---

Sl 26. 3327-36 / R.752-97. To the Deputy Commissioners of Districts

Issues instructions regarding the payment of Mirasi to Salvars by Mohatarfa payers in Municipal towns.

W D 93—GPB—50,000—21-7-16

Indexed
N. Srikantaiah

G.R.S. III–3]

## ROUTINE NOTES

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Section LR
File No 51-97
Serial No 51-26

Vide note (on print) on letter no. 1307/1071, dt. 3.5.98 from Supt. Shamsuddin

Subd. for orders as to whether copies of the letters may be sent to the Govt. of the riparian districts for report as to the practice prevailing in the matter of permits for their districts.

(M) M.R.
18/5/98

Subd. to 2nd C.

Reports from the other districts are awaited.

(Sd) C. S.
19.5.98

(1) I believe some work is being done in the Police Inspector General's office for compiling these statistics. A special officer had been deputed, but so far as I understand nothing has come out of it. Please enquire how this matter stands.

(2) Orders recently issued regarding

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

GRSM. SL.382.

G.R.S. III–3]

## ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

---

[illegible] fragment of minute
do not contemplate
the bringing into existence
of such classes of persons
in places where they
do not already —

*N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.*

2)

exist. The Dy Comr of Shipping is apparently under a mis apprehension when he submits alternative proposals for the new establishment of village servants in the Mahuwa portions of his Distt. The necessity for such establishment must first be proved. In this connection it is important to know what duties are intended to be entrusted to these men & how these duties were heretofore performed. If the matter is introduced as a general question its settlement is sure to be involved in delay & uncertainty & the Dy Comr might asked to report in each where there is positive misconduct or individual cases for special orders

Noted
9.6.98
10/6/98
10/6/98

(75) Note

Submitted. to Secretary

Please see 2d Councillor's query no(1) on Clearing a/c By Commr's letter No 1301/1071 dated 13th ult May 1898.

" I believe some work is being done in the Police Inspector General's office for compiling these statistics. A special officer had been deputed but so far as I understand nothing has come out of it. Please enquire how this matter stands".

---

In February 1897, the Inspector General of Police reported that information had been collected from all the districts except Bangalore & Kolar, the Dy Commrs of which had not sent their reports. In Govt Proc: Confl No 219-225 3.3.97, the Dy Commrs of Bangalore & Kolar were asked to expedite the Submission of their Returns.

* Para 13 in Proc 23.

† Para 16 in Do —

whether the reports were
subsequently received or
not and at what stage
the proposed scheme for
the re-organisation of
village Police now Stands,
remain to be ascertained
from the C.I.G. of Police.

A draft is submitted
for approval or otherwise -

17/6/48

17/6/48

SECRETARY'S OFFICE.

REVENUE BRANCH.

89

OFFICE NOTE.

SUBJECT. Regarding payment of Miras to Talavaro by Mohatarfa payers in Municipal towns

LAST REFERENCE Letter No. 5156 dated 15th June 1879 from the Dy Commr, Kolar &

* Rev. No. 3335-62 of 1st Aug: 1878. R. No (1)

Rule III of the Rules for the payment of Miras to minor village Servants Jotis, Talavaro and Nirgunties prescribed in ~~Gazette~~ the late Chief Commr's Proc^s No. 3335 / R. 62 & 10th Aug: 1878, requires that the rates to be paid to Talavaro by the residents of a village, who are not cultivators, shall, in the case of mohatarfa-payers, be 1 anna per rupee of such mohatarfa and in the

case of those who do not pay mohatarfa 2 annas per house annually.

2. The Talaiars of the Chintamani Town pray that in accordance with the above rule, miras may be recovered from mohatarfa-payers, and paid to them. The people of the Chintamani Town, who pay mohatarfa, represent that this levy of miras has not been in force anywhere & that therefore they may be exempted from paying it.

P. No 10 in the Year ...

P. No. 9 in the ...

3. The Amildar of Chintamani reports that under the personal orders of the Jamabandi officer Mr K. Ramachandra Rao, the levy of miras from mohatarfa-payers in Municipal Towns

× No. 742 & 24.1.92 P. ... 10.

was stopped from the year
Vikranti (1890-91). The Amildar
of the Chikhalkasan, Sillar-
ghatta, Gorilidmar and
Bagepalli Taluks report
that the rule alone quoted
has not been in force in
the respective municipal
towns & that no miras is
received & paid to Talavars.
The Dy. Amildar of Guri-
-banda reports that a Ta-
-lavar was appointed for
the Gauribanda Town in
the year Vilambanga (1878-79)
that for one or two years
he was paid Miras by
the Mohatarfa-payers
that as the miras was quite
inadequate for his mainte-
-nance & as no Inam
land was granted to him,
he resigned the post in the
year 1886 & that since then
there has been no Talavar
for the Gauribanda town.

What the practice is in this respect in the other Municipal towns of the Kolar District remains to be ascertained.

4. The Talaiars of the Chittamani Town are reported to be assisting in the collection of Mohatarfa of the town. They do not perform the duty of night-watch - Rule IX of the Rules above referred to, directs that when a complaint is preferred by a village servant & non-payment of Mirasi payable under these rules by any ryot or other person from whom such contribution is due, the Amildar shall hold an enquiry and pass a summary decision in accordance with the facts proved; and that in the event of the decision being in favour of the village servant, the

amount awarded shall be realized from the defaulting agent as a recovery demand & made over to the Complainant. This procedure does not appear to have been followed in the present case.

The Govt Commr. States as follows: —

"The Govt while reconsidering this question ordered in Pro: No. 1663-76 dated 10th Aug: 97 R. 6137 & Serial no. 67 that "manual" obtaining in the locality should be considered and in cases of doubt the rates prescribed in 1873 should be followed. Even here, there was no question raised as to whether this should apply to Municipalities and considering that their legitimate work is minimised if not altogether reduced by the

employment of the Regular Police and other Municipal Revenue Servants, I fail to see how this extra payment could not be enforced."

The order of 10th Aug: 1877 referred to by the Commr merely directed the adoption of the Rules of 1st Aug: 1878 in places where they were not in force. While in so directing, the order further says that "for fixing the rate of minas the manual obtaining in the locality concerned should be ascertained by a summary enquiry".

Therefore, what the Commr says has no bearing on the present question, the point for ours being whether the levy of minas under Rule III of the Rules of 1st August 1878 may be enforced in Municipal towns.

Submitted to Secretariat on 14/7/55

Enquiries about the prac-
-tice in the Municipalities in the Kolar Dt and the other Districts

26/10/99

Draft Sent
27/10/99

Draft Sent
13/11/99

The foregoing orders were Communicated to the Dy. Comrs of Districts and the following are the replies received thereto.

Bangalore District

× Letter No 97 SO dy 13/2/00

× The Deputy Comr reports that no Nuzrana is paid to Talavars by the Mohatarfa payers in any of the Municipalities of the District.

Kolar District:

× Letter No 5363 2/11/00

× The Deputy Comr reports that the practice in question does not obtain in any Municipality of the Dt except at Bangalore where one anna per Rupee is ~~paid~~ paid to Talavars by Mohatarfa payers.

## Tumkur District

The Deputy Comr. reports* that Nirasi, (at the rate of one anna per rupee) is paid to Talwars by Mohatarfa papers in all the Municipalities of the District except Tiptur & Turuvekere. Here no such payments are made. He further reports that Nirasi, whereas it is ~~collected~~ levied, is collected by the Shanbhogue and paid to the Talwar, but that no record seems to have been kept of the payments so made nor is a receipt given by the Shanbhogue to the person who pays the Nirasi.

* Letter No 623 dt 8/2/00

## Mysore District.

The Deputy Comr. reports* that Talwars are employed only in the Municipalities of Nanjungud Chamarajnagar and Krishnarajpet and that in Nanjungud they get no Nirasi from Mohatarfa papers as there is a separate establishment for Municipal work, while in Chamarajnagar and Krishnarajpet Talukas they enjoy Inami lands. He further states that the Talis who are

* Letter No 783 dt 20/2/00

are employed in Municipal towns get Inam in the shape of grass and grain from the cultivators residing in some of the Municipal towns such as Hagg. addera Kole, French Rocks &c, that they are exempted from paying house-tax in Gundlupet and Nandya & only enjoy Inam lands in French Rocks.

## Hassan District.

× The Deputy Comr reports that the Mahatarpa collection of the Hassan Town Municipality is conducted with the assistance of Kulwadis who under Govt Prop No 6197-204 dated 17th Novr LF 127-97 1898, are exempted from the payment of house tax, that Arsikere, Belur and Holé Narsipur, have no Talawars but only Kulwadis, that Arkal-gud, Channarayapatna, Hassan and Banavar have Talawars while the rest of the Municipalities have Kulawadis only and that in all the

× Letter No 669 dy 7/4/00

the above Municipalities neither Kulwaris not Talaris get any pay from the Mohatarfa pages.

## Shimoga District.

The Dybaur reports that in the Shimoga Head Quarter Municipality there are no Potels or Talaris, the work being done by the servants employed by the Municipality; that in the Shikaripur Municipality there classes of servants help the Patels & Shanbhogs in the Mohatarfa Collection work, the latter receiving a commission of 2 per cent on the collection they make & that the Potels & Talaris do not receive anything for the work from the Municipality: vide further Notes that in the ~~Mun~~ Municipalities of the Dt. except the Malnad Municipalities of Sorab, Sagar Nagar, Kallurkatte & ~~Hos~~ Thirthahalli, the Patels & Shanbhogs collect the Mohatarfa Tax by the help of the Potels & Talaris who are not paid anything for their work, and that in the Malnad Municipalities named

* No 606 of 17/1/90. 47

named above, there are no
Votes or Salaries in existence.

## Kadur District.

× Letter No 378 dy 11/12/28

The Deputy Commr
reports that no Calamaries
entertained in the Municipal
towns of his District except
at Kadur and Yeddehalli
where the village Talwars
are in addition to their
duties in the villages work
in those municipal towns
without extra remuneration.

## Chickaballapur District

× Letter No 722/688 dy 11/4/29

The Deputy Commr
reports that a diversity of
practice prevails in the
several municipalities of
his Dt as regards Pay-
-ment of Huras to ~~village~~
village Servants. In some
Taluks Votis & Salaries
are paid Huras at rates
varying from 1 to 4 as
per Rupee, while in some
they receive payment in
grain.

Secretary
Submitted for orders.

K
25/5/00

Title & Pleader's Fee
The Chillakuru Talu
-q asks claim for

26/6/00
855

19/6/00

RD-Jhn
13.9.900.

10.X.00

15/10/00

R-2
52-97

SECRETARY'S OFFICE.

REVENUE BRANCH.

OFFICE NOTE.

SUBJECT. Whether the holder of a Kaigeni-gutta village can be permitted to introduce Mining Rules into his village -

Prior Refers
R. No 456-93-4
R. No. 212-05-6
B. No. 22-05-Sh. Itr.

LAST REFERENCE

R. No. 97½

Letter No. 166 dated 7th Aug. 1899

from the Dy Commr Tumkur

The Dy Commr solicits instructions as to whether the holder of a Kaigeni-gutta village may, on application, be authorized to enforce in his village the rules laid down by Govt for regulating the Kaigeni of Mines due to minor village servants by Ryots & non-agriculturists in Govt villages -

The question raised is a new one, and cannot be solved by applying the Rules in force (Proc. No. 1665-76 & 10.3.91 / R 5.39) which are silent on the point. Even the original rules of 1878 throw no light on the question.

As regards village officers the holder of a Kayeingatta or an alienated village is bound by the Land rules as are applicable to village officers in Govt. villages. If there are alienated villages, they are entitled to get Amins from Govt. unless they are attorneys for enumerated by the Land Act.

2. It is submitted for consideration whether it may be ascertained from the alienation deeds whether the Land Rules have been given effect to in any alienated or Kayeingatta villages in their Districts.

Yrs. if submitted by the Revenue Dept. 29-8-99

Commissioner
N 1810 [illegible]

Rd question

Subject to Rent & Dr.

I cannot think the Rules re question already to aboriginal Villages or that payment could be enforced by an Executive by "a summary enquiry" & "a summary decision"

Rd - 4/9/99.
169

29/8/99.

Received from the 2nd Comm
on 7-9-00
[illegible]
Submitted to 1st Comm-
-ciller - M 12/9/00

Rotorua
13.9.900.

Yes - Maori matters
in practice matter
depends upon
circumstances
9.X.00

Rec
16/10/00
M

SS M
Draft
M
16/10/00

Draft Subd
SS 16/10/00

Memo continued.

Accordingly a copy of the afore-
said letter from the Dy. Com^r.
Number Dt: was forwarded to each
of the other Dy: Com^rs for favour
of reporting the practice obtaining
in their Dts: in regard to the pay-
ment of Minas to Thotis, Talaries &
Neeraqunties in the alienated Villages; and
the reports received from the other
Dy. Com^rs are to the following effect:—.

Hassan.

The Dy.-Com^r. Hassan
reports that there is no uniformity
in the practice of paying Minas to
the Village Servants in the Inam
Villages in as much as they are paid
in Some Taluks to Thotis & Talaries
only, in others to Neeraqunties only and
in some others nothing whatsoever is paid
to any of them; that in so far as
the Rev: & Police functions of these

(Note Cont'd)

SECRETARY'S OFFICE.

REVENUE BRANCH.

OFFICE NOTE.

SUBJECT. Whether Miras at double the ordinary rates should be recovered in the case of wet lands on which two crops are raised.

LAST REFERENCE Letter No. 447 dated 18th Nov. 1897 from the Dy Commr, Tinnevelly Dt.

The Dy Commr solicits instructions on two points in connection with the payment of Miras to minor village Servants, (Toti, Talari and Nirganti) by Ryots —

(1) Whether in the case of wet lands, on which two crops (Karthika & Chaithra) are raised, Miras at double the ordinary rates is intended to be recovered from the Ryots concerned —

The Notification of 10th

August 1897 — No 1677/Q540. Paper No. 67.

Containing rules for regulating the payment of Inams, is based on the Rules published in the Rotfis of 12th Aug: 1823, * Paper No. 1 Cent is Silent on the point raised by the Dy Commr. The question is the first of its kind. The Rule is, that every Ryot in a Settled Taluk Should give to each Talaiar and Toti 3 Seers of grain for each acre of land (not) cultivated by him, and to each Nirganti 4 Seers of grain for each acre of wet land irrigated from tanks & channels. In unsettled Talukis, the Ryot concerned should give 1/2 Seer of grain for each Kuzu of wet Land cultivated by him, to each Talaiar & Toti, & one Seer for each Kuzu of wet Land irrigated from tanks & channels, to each Nirganti.

It is clear from the Rules that Miras should be calculated on the extent of land cultivated by each Ryot and not on the quantity of grain reaped by him. Generally two crops (Karthika and Vaishaka) are raised on wet lands under tanks, and only one crop is raised on lands under river channels, in a year. Thus, in places where wet lands are irrigated by river channels the village lands are paid Miras according to the prescribed rates. That being so, it is a point for consideration as to how the village servants in other places, where two crops are raised on lands under tanks, can get Miras at double the ordinary rates. The Rules prescribe the same rate of Miras for

97

both
lands irrigated by tanks
& channels - It is therefore
clear that the Rules do
not contemplate the
recovery of minas at
double the ordinary
rates in the case of
lands on which two
crops are raised -

(2) Whether the payment
of arrears of minas for
more than one year may
be enforced as a Revenue
Demand -- The Govt
Commr states that under
Section 97 of the L. A. Code,
superior holders of land
can recover rent or land
revenue due by inferior
holders, with the assistance
of Govt, only if the appli-
cation be made within
the Revenue year or within
the year of tenancy in
which the rent or land
revenue became payable,
and recommends a similar
rule being laid down
in the case of the recovery

of mines due to village
Servants.

GOVERNMENT OF WEST AFRICA

2. A Deputy Comm^r
having already raised
the question as to whether
the claims of village
Servants for arrears
of mines due for a
series of years can be
admitted and disposed of
under the Rules of 10th
Aug: 1899, the opinion
of the other Dy Comm^rs
& the practice obtaining
in their Districts were
called for. Correspond-
-ence ending with letter
No. 891/710 of 26.5.99 from
the Dy Comm^r, Shuniga
District, together with
an office-note was
submitted to the Hon:
Councillor, E. Division
on the 16th Aug: 1899. This
point requires orders.

88
30.11.99

3/ Regarding point (1), the Dy
Comm^r's doubt seems to

have arisen from the
fact that payments of
mias were usually made
at the threshing floor.
Apart from the manual
on which the Ld Comr
could have given but lit
not given any information,
the fact that dry, wet
& garden lands are placed by the rents
on the same footing as
regards the extent of
liability to mias payments
seems to show that mias
does not depend on the no
of crops. Taking the
case of a garden in which
the trees are not in bearing,
it cannot be contended
that the holder is not
liable to pay mias on the ground that
no crop could be expected
for some years

Ly 24/12/89

to be taken into
account of land &
not upon the
no. of crops

C
5-12-89

Indian Forest Service

7/1/99
472

5-12-99.

4//

This is Sahibe the Dy Commr of Dumka. His opinion also would not have carried any weight. I would say the Rents in each district is regulated on the revenue under cultivation not on the crops. Single or double raised on it

Mozumdar
S/o Mr Deen Dy

Mr Deen Dy

The other question has, I believe, yet to be received

27-12-99

SS. Read 3/1/00
Drafted
4/1/00
88

5. Orders as above were communicated to the Dy Commr in Govt Prof. No. 4765 dt 6.1.1900. R 752.97.98

With reference thereto, the Dy Commr states that if all the 3 classes of minor village servants, viz Toti, Talari & Nirganti, are to be paid mirasi also for the second or Vaisakhi cultivation carried on in wet lands, in addition to what they take on the first or Karthiki crop, the payment would press rather heavily on the ryots concerned, that the second payment to the Nirganti may be justified by the fact of his having had to attend to the irrigational requirements of the ryot for the Vaisakhi crop, but that the Toti and Talari have no claim whatever for additional mirasi

No 677 dt 23.2.1900.

for the second cultivation in the same year. The Dy Commr added that the services of the Toti and the Talari in connection with the watch and ward of the village are sufficiently remunerated by a single annual payment of Rs 4/-, & solicits instructions as to whether these two classes of servants should also be paid Rs 4/- for Vai-Lakhi cultivation, the calculation being to be made upon the acreage under cultivation —

The orders already issued are explicit enough — & the Collector may be told so.

Mbrane Hewett
Secretary
Nov 13/00

6. While there is nothing in the Rules or in the orders issued in January last, the Dy Commr assumes that Rs 4/- is payable twice, once for Kharkhi and again for Vaorakha cultivation; and states that such payment to all the 3 classes of servants would be very heavy on the Ryots concerned, although the

88
9/3/00

We do not own nor claim
ownership to the land
under the Court House
and surrounding Square.
Deed of Deeds
bears a date prior to
Grace Land. On the
other hand, while
the land in controversy
was a part of the
Square as laid
down by the Com-
missioners, it does not
become two by
reason of two
deeds being made
at different times.

Verdict for Defts
5 Dec. C

20/3/00

670

Say the Court
has misunderstood
the order of Court.
[illegible] was in return
to the order by stating
that the Court's interpretation
that the words were
[illegible] lines
[illegible] that Crops were
buried on the land

in this wording. He
says in their order
that only the land
on the acreage ~~held~~ under
cultivation & not the
number of times a
piece of land may be
cropped.

Say to the Dr Lon

~~The Dr Lon is~~

The Govt cannot
understand what led
the Dr to make this
reference. The Govt
order distinctly says
that the ruling is not
the regulation upon
the number of times a
piece of land may be
cropped. There is thus
no double rule as the
land down clause
village defines"

Yours Sincerely

Draft sent
2/6/13.

(215)

424/94

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 8327
MAY 16 1898
DEPUTY

Section LR
File No 97/
Letter No 1 To 74

1897.98 (71)

Submitted, for review and
whether copies of the letter
be sent to the Commissioner
of the Land Revenue Department
for record and to the Inspector
Forwarding Officer.

The Deputy Commissioner
Thunderbirdwich

The Secretary to the Board
of Revenue
General and Judicial Dept

Dated 13th May 1898.
Despatched
Received
General No. 1331
No. 1071
Enclosure No.

13/5/98

Read & Recd.

Reports from other
districts are awaited

Rd/Rm
20.5.98

19-5-98

Referring to Govt. Proceedings
No 6 dated 10th August 1897 and
R540
Subsequent Govt. order No 7337-27
R2284
of 28th January 1898 concerning
payment of salary to Village
Village Servants requesting
passing final orders on the
matter.

Rd 16/5/98

(1) I believe some well
considered rules
regulating generally
employment of Village
Servants and
A special officer has
been deputed but so
far as I understand
as yet has come out
yet. Please enquire
between Mallandi.

Please see Public Cashew

(2) Orders recently
passed regarding
the payment of fines
do not contemplate
the charging interest
of such classes of servants
in places where
they do not already

Safe Keeping

Rd
18/5

No. 133
1071

Deputy Commissioner's Office.
Shimoga District
Shimoga 3rd May 1929.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore
General and Revenue Department.

Sir,

Referring to Government Proceedings
No. 1677 (67) R540 dated 2nd August 29 and the subsequent
order of Government embodied in No. 7037-44 (70) R 2282
dated 28th January 1929 regarding the payment
of wages to minor village servants, I have
the honour to request that Government may be
pleased to pass further and final orders in the
question regarding the pecuniary status of this
District.

2. There are no minor village servants
in these taluks, getting either any customary
wages, or holding any Inam lands for their
services. Which is very necessary to have
their services, and that Taluk and Sub-Dept
find it very difficult to get on without such
minor servants has been represented again
and again, by the Patels and Sheristadars in

their Talukas. As I was to conduct the
Examination of the Pathsala Schools, I received
the matter for personal enquiry at the spot.
While there is universal agreement as to the
necessity of having these rates, the rayats
(ryots) are neither unduly nor objectionably dis-
posed to their being burdened with any part
of this cost. The Patels and Sandapatis are
immediately interested in having their minor
servants, agreed generally to pay any rate
that may be imposed and to get more out of
they had influence to pay also.

3. The attitude is quite intelligible: and
as in the Dindigul, so such village minor
servants have been in existence, the rayats
would feel the enforcement as a new burden
altogether. The general view they express is
that as their rates are meant solely for
Sastras work, they should be paid by Govern-
ment. But they forget that there is really
no Sastras work in which the rayats are
not interested and which is not paid for from
taxes. The question, however, how to be

considered whether the imposition of these rates would not be tantamount to adding a fresh tax to a part only of the State, where none existed formerly.

4. As for the rates, I do not think they can be considered too heavy. 6 seers of grain (Paddy) may be said to be worth 3 annas on the average. The average rate of assessment on wet land may be about 2½ or 3 Rs. This levy would mean an addition of 1 anna in the Rupee for wet land. For garden land the average assessment per acre may be taken to be about 12 Rs: and the levy would mean an addition of 3 pies per Rupee. There is hardly any dry land under actual cultivation in the Mahal. It would be too great a hardship to levy this on dry land whose cultivation may be attempted by raiyats. As the average assessment is 6 or 8 as, and the new levy would be an increase of 50 per cent. If the rules are to be enforced finally now, I would recommend that dry land in the Mahal

track should be left out of account altogether.

5. The levy in any year would be regarded as a new burden in that Taluka. The liability for consideration whether Government may not impose a cess of one anna in the Rupee for this purpose. Since the usage of levying grain cesses exists, the persons who are such village servants, hereby in any place get their dues from all the ryots. There are a number of petitions received during every fasli and all these disputes. A money-levy would minimise these inconveniences. But on the other hand, a grain levy has certain obvious advantages both the parties which I think it unnecessary to recount. In case a money levy is decided upon, it may be desirable to pay these men, partly in money and partly by allotment of fresh kanjar lands as service inam.

6. If however the Government should be pleased to decide it unnecessary or inexpedient to impose any new cess for this purpose, it is desirable that village servants should be paid from the general revenues or partly in

each and partly by allotment of Inamo. That some arrangement should be made to supply Potsi Pato to Inalund villages in lieu thereof; and I would request that Government may be pleased to pass early orders on the matter.

7. In the Maidan Taluka, Shimoga Chennagiri, Honnali, Kibbanur and part of Sorab there are talwars and Potsi (called Inadigars) now in existence. Some rent land and all are paid — nominally at any rate — ayas by the villagers according to customary rates. Individual complaints on this matter receive attention: and no general treatment of the question is called for in these days at present.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

H. Maconochie

Asst. Deputy Commissioner

Shimoga District

Ryde

Bengal Secretariat

(73)




15/16

16/4/94

Commissioner, Chipping Division, stating that the services of minor village servants are very much needed in the Malwad Taluka of the District, but that the Ryots are opposed to the employment of such men on the ground that they will have to bear a new burden of paying 'mian' to them, and suggesting therefore that either a new cess of one anna or such portion be recovered from Ryots holding wet & garden lands for the purpose of remunerating these servants, or they may be remunerated by Govt partly in cash & partly by land grants.

Order thereon:—

Govt observe that the orders recently passed regarding the payment of

A = 1350
Rec'd 2-11

'mines' to minor village
Servants, do not contem-
-plate the bringing into
existence of such class of
Servants in places where
they do not already exist.
The Dy Comm^r is apparently
under a misapprehension
when he submits alternative
proposals for ~~rectifying~~
the new establishment
of these village Servants
in the Malwa portions
of his District. The
necessity for such esta-
-blishment must first
be proved, ~~and the duty~~
~~devolves on the~~ ~~to~~ ~~re-~~
~~port~~ ~~reported to report~~
what duties are intended
to be entrusted to these
men & how they were
heretofore performed.

In this connection it is important to know

2. ~~He~~ Dy Comm^r may report
for Special orders ~~of~~ ~~in~~
individual case of an
urgent ~~nature~~ nature

Bearing these points in mind, the

where

where there is fracture in-
-connection.

4
18-6-98

17/6/98 Secretary
To 17/6/98
The Dy Commr
Shimoga Dist

Submitted

Please see notes
Draft put up regarding
a village Police
organisation scheme in Police Dept
17/6/98

Vidhi







Cl 32

LR 4/94

The Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Depts.
Bangalore.

Dated 24th November 1898.
Despatched
Received

General No. 409.
No.

Enclosure No.

In reply to No. 7037-44 dated 23rd January 1898.
R. 1282

1664
R 95 7 01-9-95

Kindly report as
above the working of
the Inamdari Rules in
the District.

55
Collect 26

20.11.98

R 95 7

No 1409

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Hassan, District
Dated Bagan 24/25 December 1897

From

L. Devaraj Urs Esq
Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

To

The Secretary to the Govt
of Mysore, General and Revenue
Departments.

Sir

With reference to Government
Proceedings No 7037-44 / R 2282 dated
28th January 1898, requesting Deputy
Commissioners of Districts to report
any case in which the award of
Nirasi according to the rules issued
in Government Proceedings (  )
No. 1683-86 / R 5-39, dated 10th August
1897

1897, may be considered as an undue hardship &c, I have the honor to state that the Bridars in the District submitted their reports on the subject. From them it may be gathered that the Mirasi dues alluded to are being paid according to Manual and not according to the New Rules.

2. With regards to Para 2 of the Proceedings under reply requesting Deputy Commissioners to submit reports at the end of a year as to the working of the Rules referred to together with their opinion as regards the hereditary or otherwise of the Mirasi rates therein sanctioned for adoption, I beg to submit that as the Mirasis are not paid according to the said Rules, I have no opportunity of judging how the said rules worked.

The

5. The Ryots and Cultivators do not complain that Mirasis are not given them according to the New Rules. I think that the Ryots consider the Mirasis sanctioned in the rules to be an undue hardship. The payment of the Mirasis according to Manual in this District has not given rise to any complaint on the part of either Village Servants concerned or of the Ryots. I do not consider it advisable to put the new Rules into practice.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

[signature]
Dy Commissioner
Havan District

(General) Series No. 33

Section

Serial No

From

1899

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 4200
9 10 1899
No. 2711
DEWAN'S OFFICE

4232

JR 4/94

To

The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the Government of ~~Dewan~~ of Mysore.

General & Revenue Departments.

Dated 5th
Despatched 8th } February 1899.
Received

Enclosures No. -

General No. 641.
No. 616.

Furnishing figures as regards the Collection of Kist, Payment of Wittan & Village Servants.-

Ref

. 10.2.99

References to the marked.

M. G. C. P.—31-1-94.—3000.

No: 641 to 646.

Chief Commissioner's Office

Chitaldrug District

Dated 5th February 1895

To,

The Secretary to the

Government of Mysore

General and Revenue Department

Sir,

With reference to the

proceedings of Government

No: 3037-38 / R. 1282 dated 28th January 1895

I have the honor to state

that complaints of non

-payment of wages to in

Village Servants are very in

frequent. Only one was preferred

last year and that was an

old dispute which has since

been amicably settled. Payment

Continued

Continue to be made at local fêtes, and as the fêtes sanctioned by Government have not been enforced in any case, no opportunity has afforded to me to see whether they pressed very heavily upon the Ryots.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
A Kanakiah
for Deputy Commissioner

ks

REGISTRY OF INDIA OFFICE
Ref. No. 4904
FEB 10 1899
189 8 - 2715
INDIA OFFICE

From Chief Secretary Government Madras

Section

File No Political Diaries

Serial No

To Secretary to the Government of India Foreign Dept.

Dated 7th December 1898

Despatched 8th February 1899

Received

General No. 492.
No.

Enclosure No.

Govt Proceedings No. 7037-44 dated 25th November 1898.

Forwarding the opinion as regards the working of the Amended Rules prescribed for the village servants

10.2.99

No. 192 —

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Tumkur District,

Date d. Tumkur, 7th February 1899.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, General and
Revenue Departments,
Bangalore.

Sir,

Referring to Government
Proceedings No. 7037 41 / R. 2282 dated the
28th January 1878, I have the honor
to report that the rates of Mira's
prescribed in Notification No. 1677 / R. 540
dated the 10th August 1897 for Toties,
Jodaries and Niganties are not
unduly high, being in some in-
-stances lower than the Manual
rates. It is out of the question to
introduce a system of Cash pay-
ments to

to this class of Village servants.
They enjoy Inam lands, and by
long usage, get Miras in addition.
The present arrangement has
been in force for a long time, and
I see no reason to alter it.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

M. Vishwanath Rao
Deputy Commissioner

M.R.



Gen. 31.]

1894

Section

File No 61-17

Serial No

From Auditor-General

To

The Secretary to the Government of Victoria.

Melbourne

Dated 18th April

Despatched

Received

General No. 3425

No. 903

Enclosure No. nil.

Stating that no modifications are necessary in the rates of Excise Duty

Rec: 4-4-94

Replied

Postal Commission [illegible]
Kuba District
Date 2 April 1909

No. 44
The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments

Sir

With reference to Government
Proceedings No. M3V-44 dated
R 2282
January 1909 calling for a
report as regards the working
of the Mini Bank issued in
Government Proceedings
No. 603-5 dated 16 August 97
R 5-39
I have to state, that
the Village Servants system
Vatans and Birgandis are
fairly well known to Govern-
-ment at the rate of wages
from time immemorial
but when the exist any

misunderstanding

misunderstandings between the
rights and the Village Servants
The latter are deprived of their
remuneration and can only
come forward with complaints
for the vacancy of their duties
due to them from the rights.
Such cases are being enquired
into and disposed of under
the amended/unified orders of
Government Application
No. 503-5/RB39 dated 13th August 1991

2 There were in all eight cases
of this nature, one at Thiruvupur
and rest Salem.

3 I am of opinion that the
present system of payment in
kind, is more satisfactory than
in money as the latter would
be a very large drain upon
Government and the present
system can entail very little
hardship upon the rights, moreover
it creates cordial union
between the rights and the Village
Servants

Please to read this in council
he as fully to-morrow.

I have also my opinion, that
the water of this road should
-ed, over which road is
not safe, and the
-tion, and any
-tion in the same, does not
seem necessary.

Please the Worship,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
Hutchinson
Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

Dec

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 6325
B. 4 1899
No. 3458
REMARKS

1899

Section 1 Confl
File No. 3 Misl Commissioner
Serial No. Eastern District

82.36
LR4/94

To The Secretary to Government
Land Revenue Department.

Dated 8th

Despatched 12th April

Received

General No. 488 / 1898-99.
No.

Enclosure No. 1.

Submitting report as regards the working of the Mirasi rules passed in Govt. Proceedings No. 368-78 / R 539 dated 9th August 1897.

---

Copy sent to Collector & DMO for information
Dated 9/4/99

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Madura District

To

The Secretary to Government
General and Revenue Depts

Dated 8th April 1899

Adverting to G.O. No 705-44 dated 28th January 1895, calling for a report as regards the working of the "Mirasi" rules issued in G.O. No 1055-70 R 559 dated 5th August 1897, that the Board to state what complaints as to the undue hardship of the rules of Mirasi authorizing Fees, Salaries and Nirgantees was made to the undersigned during his late journeys of the Madura Taluks.

2. The Extra Assistant Commissioner, Mr. B. Ramachandrayya, who did the journey of the Sarikere Taluk, reports that none came forward to complain of the hardship of the above rules.

3. The General Assistant Commissioner, in his diary No 44 dated 19th February 1899 (herein enclosed) states that the Mirasi rules do not seem to have been in force in the Tarikere and Madura Taluks, but that the old (manual) rates of Mirasi

still

still continue in these Taluks.

4. The decrees noted in the margin, received from the Extra Assistant Commissioner, Mr. B. Ramaswamiengar, are herein enclosed in original.

| |
|---|
| No 49 dated 15-2-99 |
| No 69 " 24-3-99 |

5. In the Malnad Taluks, the undersigned had numerous complaints, on the other hand, from Talvars and Tatis that they receive no miraci whatever, and hold no main lands, and are yet asked to perform work by the Patels. In the Malnad generally, villages are so small and scattered, and the employment required from village servants so uncertain and infrequent, that the miraci, unless cannot be strictly enforced.

Deputy Commr
Kadur Dist

Reg. No. 1516

Cash. Bill. No. 2012.

8/38

124/94

Enclosure

1898-99

From The Asst: and Commissioner Kadur District

To The Deputy Commissioner Kadur District

94

Dated 14th February

Despatched 19.2.99

Received

General No. 144

No.

Enclosure No.

Sir.

With reference to your O.M. 571 of February 98 and former one: 972 of 5th Dec: 97 in the matter of Govt. Pro: no: 2037-44 / R 2282 of 21st January 98, I have the honor to state that the proceedings Govt. Pro: no: 1677-R 574 dated 10. 8. 97 do not seem to have been given effect to in the Talukas of Kadur and Chikmagalur. In amplification of these Talukas report that the old Inamdar rates of Cowles are still in force in these Talukas & that no fixed Cowle cases have come up before them for disposal

Leave by in case of engagement of Lands. The Village Servants Concerned have verbal Referen-
-tions to the Surveyor in his circuit of present
Informal petitions to him. Beyond this the Village
Servants have not Enfeed. Suits in any Cases-

The Govt: Proceedings of August 97
being practically not known I am anxious to the
Public under my charge it is not possible to ex-
-pect of the existing of the rules laid down in
the Proceedings is attended with any hardships.—

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
[redacted]
Collr: and Dt: Commissioner

Reg Dec 11434

(General Serial No. 30.)

Asst. Dept. 17/2.

9238

4/4/94

From

The Asst Commr
Madikeri Sub-Dist,
Camp Jodupatte

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Kodagu District,

Dated 12th February 1899

Despatched 15th do

Received 94

General No. 49 — } 1898-99

Enclosures No. Nil

With reference to his No. 992 dated 3rd instant, has the honor to state that no case of hardship on the part of ryots for payment of mias to village servants was

Central Jail Press, Bangalore.—14-4-98.—30,002.

REGISTER OF FILE HEADINGS OF SECTION OF THE

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT, FOR THE YEAR

| Serial No. | Subject | Mode of Recording | Remarks such as "Print," "Printed" "Destroy," and "Destroyed" | Page in the File Register |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | |

G.R.S.M.—2,000—22-2-17

Rec'd 12 Sep 3.

1899

From The Ottawa Agency

To The Deputy Minister
Indian Department

Dated 21st

Despatched March 1899.

Received

General No. 69

No.

Enclosure No.

With reference to this
no 1195 dated 16th instant,
has the honor to state
that during the under-
signed's journey
of the Iroquois Indians
none came forward
to complain of the
undue hardship of

8459

LR 4 94

Ser

in

File

gister

REGISTER OF FILE HEADINGS OF SECTION OF THE

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT, FOR THE YEAR

| Serial No. | Subject | Mode of Recording | Remarks such as "Print," "Printed" "Destroy," and "Destroyed" | Page in the File Register |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | |

G.R.S.M.--2,000--22-2-17

Gen. 31.]

1899 R. 5243

8123

78

From The Chief Commissioner
Kolar District

Section
Title No
Serial No

The Secretary to the Government
Dewan of Mysore.
Religious department

Dated 16 June 99
Despatched 20 June 99
Received

General No. 5156
No. 1083
Enclosure No. Nil.

Extract for orders. Annexed correspondence explains fully the circumstances leading to the claim preferred by the Salaam of Chintamani Samaram for minar at

21.6.99.

Ref. to Govt
7/7/99
26/6.

Submitted with office note for orders -

11/7/99

Deputy Commr's Office Kolar.

Dated 16th June 1877.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore

Revenue and General Department.

Sir

I have the honor to forward herewith connected Correspondence ending with General Assistant Commissioner's Yadast No: 644 dated the 9th ultimo relating to the claim preferred by the Patcharis of Chintamani Town for Inam in accordance with the scale sanctioned in Government Proceedings No: 3003-76 62 dated the 31st August 1876. This order does not seem to have hitherto been applied to the case of Inams in the Municipal Towns, and the enclosed report shows that the Archatars & Kaigars and others of other Municipalities in this district have not been subjected to this payment.

2 The Government while reconsidering this question

Ordered in proceedings No: 5 62 / 26 dated the 3 &
August 1927, that "Rami" obtaining in the
locality should be considered and that in case
of doubt-72, the rates prevailed in 1873
should be followed. Even here, there was no
question raised as to whether this should apply
to Municipalities, and considering that their
legitimate work is minimised if not altogether
reduced by the employment of the Regular
Police and other Municipal revenue servants
I fail to see, how this extra payment could
now be enforced and solicit the favor of in-
structions from Government in the matter.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 232
DEWAN'S OFFICE

1899 1900. Ao 124

Section Trans
File No 37 The Deputy Commissioner
Serial No 9 97

Mysore District.

To

*The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,*
*General and Revenue Departments.*

*Dated* 8
*Despatched* 11 } July 1899
*Received*

**Enclosures No.** -

**General No.** 26

Reporting on the working of the Abkari rules -

37. Chief Secretary

12 Ve
18.7.99

No. 26

Enclosures No. -

OFFICE OF THE Deputy Commissioner
MYSORE DISTRICT,

Dated Mysore 3rd/4 July 1899.

To

*The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,*
*General and Revenue Departments.*

SIR,

Adverting to para 2 of Government Proceedings No. 7037-44 / R. 2282 dated 25th January 1898, calling for a report as to the working of the mining rules, I have the honour to state that from the reports received from the Amildars, Assistant Commissioners and the Sub-Division Offices, French Rocks, it appears that no occasion for the practical enforcement of the rules has as yet presented itself owing to the parties interested not having formally preferred any claims for the recovery of the minerals and the rates prescribed thereunder, but that the opinion prevailing among the ryots is that such rates are high. The probability is that the village accounts are in receipt of some hire from the ryots and are obliged to rest content with it as they cannot well complain against the latter to whom they are obligated and look for help in various ways.

2. It would therefore seem advisable to wait till the necessity for enforcing the rules actually arises and

and an opportunity is thereby offered for judging whether or not the rates sanctioned prove suitable on the whole. I may also remark that the rules fixing the rates have been working satisfactorily in the Kolar District and no complaint on the part of the ryots has ever been marked to me during the long period of 7 years I was in charge of the District.

I have the honor to be,

Sir

Your most obdt. servant

[signature]

for Dy. Commissioner of the

Mysore District

Company.

974
Gl. No. 907
JG. 9 1999
M. 175
189

Section 2R 74
File No 51-9
Serial No 10

From The Deputy Commissioner
Bellary District

To The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore
General Revenue Depts.

Dated 5th
Despatched 7th } Acknowledged
Received

General No. 126.
No.

Enclosure No. one

Forwarding for orders
Copy of a petition received
from Sivaramaiya
holding the Rayaputtu
village of Kulekuppe
in the Municipal Taluk

SS
Subm with note
R Vkpn
9.8.99
Noted in Index
888
14/8
Orbd with Note
Recd 14/8 SS 18/8/99

824

R24/94

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Tanjore District
Dated 5th August 1895.

No:
105

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Imperial General and
Revenue Departments,

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith Copy of a petition received from Sivaramia holder of the Nayanagalla Village of Sulchuppi in the Nannilam Taluk, intimating his intention to introduce into that Village the Rules published with Notification No: 1677/K 540 dated 10th ult. August 1895 for the payment of Peiras to Jotis, Talaries and Perquisites. The petitioners object in giving this intimation is apparently to to signify to the villagers that his action in introducing the Rules in question into his village has received the sanction of Government. I beg to be instructed whether such a step can be countenanced.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

M Narasimha Rao

Deputy Commissioner.

Enl.

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 166

ಉಪ ಆಯುಕ್ತರ ಕಛೇರಿ
Deputy Commissioner

ದಿನಾಂಕ 21.4.99.

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 154

ದಿನಾಂಕ 16 ನೇ ಮಾರ್ಚ್ 1999 ರಂದು

Tirukkur District

Deputy Collector/Commissioner/Office

District Sirastadar

Sub/ angadeendamung/

"C.C. Key"

ಅಂಗಡಿ ಮಾಲೀಕರು/ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕರು ಅಂಗಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುವ ಸಮಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಡ್ಡಾಯವಾಗಿ ಗುರುತಿನ ಚೀಟಿಯನ್ನು ಧರಿಸಬೇಕು ಎಂಬ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸೂಚನೆ ಫಲಕವನ್ನು ಅಂಗಡಿಯ ಮುಂಭಾಗದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರದರ್ಶಿಸಬೇಕು.

ಅಂಗಡಿ ಮಾಲೀಕರು ಅಂಗಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುವ ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕರಿಗೆ ಗುರುತಿನ ಚೀಟಿಯನ್ನು ಕೊಡಬೇಕು ಎಂಬ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸೂಚನೆ ಫಲಕವನ್ನು ಅಂಗಡಿಯ ಮುಂಭಾಗದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರದರ್ಶಿಸಬೇಕು.

ಅಂಗಡಿ ಮಾಲೀಕರು ಅಂಗಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುವ ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕರಿಗೆ ಗುರುತಿನ ಚೀಟಿಯನ್ನು ಕೊಡಬೇಕು ಎಂಬ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸೂಚನೆ ಫಲಕವನ್ನು ಅಂಗಡಿಯ ಮುಂಭಾಗದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರದರ್ಶಿಸಬೇಕು.

ಅಂಗಡಿ ಮಾಲೀಕರು ಅಂಗಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುವ ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕರಿಗೆ ಗುರುತಿನ ಚೀಟಿಯನ್ನು ಕೊಡಬೇಕು ಎಂಬ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸೂಚನೆ ಫಲಕವನ್ನು ಅಂಗಡಿಯ ಮುಂಭಾಗದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರದರ್ಶಿಸಬೇಕು.

ಅಂಗಡಿ ಮಾಲೀಕರು ಅಂಗಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುವ ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕರಿಗೆ ಗುರುತಿನ ಚೀಟಿಯನ್ನು ಕೊಡಬೇಕು ಎಂಬ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸೂಚನೆ ಫಲಕವನ್ನು ಅಂಗಡಿಯ ಮುಂಭಾಗದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರದರ್ಶಿಸಬೇಕು.

ಅಂಗಡಿ ಮಾಲೀಕರು ಅಂಗಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುವ ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕರಿಗೆ ಗುರುತಿನ ಚೀಟಿಯನ್ನು ಕೊಡಬೇಕು ಎಂಬ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸೂಚನೆ ಫಲಕವನ್ನು ಅಂಗಡಿಯ ಮುಂಭಾಗದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರದರ್ಶಿಸಬೇಕು.

ಅಂಗಡಿ ಮಾಲೀಕರು ಅಂಗಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುವ ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕರಿಗೆ ಗುರುತಿನ ಚೀಟಿಯನ್ನು ಕೊಡಬೇಕು ಎಂಬ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸೂಚನೆ ಫಲಕವನ್ನು ಅಂಗಡಿಯ ಮುಂಭಾಗದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರದರ್ಶಿಸಬೇಕು.

ಅಂಗಡಿ ಮಾಲೀಕರು ಅಂಗಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುವ ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕರಿಗೆ ಗುರುತಿನ ಚೀಟಿಯನ್ನು ಕೊಡಬೇಕು ಎಂಬ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸೂಚನೆ ಫಲಕವನ್ನು ಅಂಗಡಿಯ ಮುಂಭಾಗದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರದರ್ಶಿಸಬೇಕು.

ಅಂಗಡಿ ಮಾಲೀಕರು ಅಂಗಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುವ ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕರಿಗೆ ಗುರುತಿನ ಚೀಟಿಯನ್ನು ಕೊಡಬೇಕು ಎಂಬ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸೂಚನೆ ಫಲಕವನ್ನು ಅಂಗಡಿಯ ಮುಂಭಾಗದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರದರ್ಶಿಸಬೇಕು.

ಅಂಗಡಿ ಮಾಲೀಕರು ಅಂಗಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುವ ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕರಿಗೆ ಗುರುತಿನ ಚೀಟಿಯನ್ನು ಕೊಡಬೇಕು ಎಂಬ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸೂಚನೆ ಫಲಕವನ್ನು ಅಂಗಡಿಯ ಮುಂಭಾಗದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರದರ್ಶಿಸಬೇಕು.

ಅಂಗಡಿ ಮಾಲೀಕರು ಅಂಗಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುವ ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕರಿಗೆ ಗುರುತಿನ ಚೀಟಿಯನ್ನು ಕೊಡಬೇಕು ಎಂಬ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸೂಚನೆ ಫಲಕವನ್ನು ಅಂಗಡಿಯ ಮುಂಭಾಗದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರದರ್ಶಿಸಬೇಕು.

1433
P. R. 737
1899

Section Fin
File No 6127
Serial No 4

From The Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District.

98

To The Secretary to the Govt
of Mysore & and De
Departments.

Dated 25th August 1899

Despatched 25

Received

General No. 164
No. 130

Enclosure No.

With reference to Govt.
Proceedings No. 7037-46
R 2252, dated 28th January
1898, submit report as
regards the working
of the "Miraci" Rules

Have returned
Nov 9
Nov 9
Oct 1899

No. 154/130 -

Deputy Commrs Office
Amineza District
Camp Chamagein 25th August/97
28.

To
The Secretary to the Government of Bryoria,
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

With reference to Proceedings of Government No. 7037-44. R 2292, dated 28th January 1898, requesting the Deputy Commissioners of Districts to report any cases in which the award of the Minors to Talavans and Sakos according to the Rules issued in Government Proceedings No 1668-76 R 539, dated 10th August 1897, may be considered as an undue hardship &c, I have the honour to state that these rules have not been enforced in any part of this district, as the manner of each place was

followed

followed wherever such things are not levied.

2. None of the Amildars except that of Chiamagire have stated what the manul rates are; and in the absence of any widespread dispute on the matter, I do not consider it expedient to order any general inquiry, as it would only create unrest and perhaps bring about misunderstandings where none existed. The rates in Chiamagire Taluk are said to be 12 to 24 Seers of grain for Talavaru, 15 Seers of grain for Nirganti (only in the Basavapatna hobli) and nothing for Gutis — for each plough employed by the raiyat. The parties concerned are reported to prefer these rates to the prescribed ones.

area. On a calculation, I think the new rates would be found to be nearly the same incidence as these old ones. The prescribed rates given are between wide limits, but I have no means of saying whether the figures are fairly approximate and how they have been arrived at.

3. The above observations apply only to the Mainland parts of the district. In the Malvad Taluk, there are no such village servants, and I have already addressed Government on the desirability of providing them somehow for those places. (No. 1301/1071 dated 13th May 1898).

4. On the general question also about the incidence of the new rates, I have expressed an opinion that they

they are not in para 4 of the above letter. I omitted however to take into consideration the liability of wet and garden lands to a further rate for Nirgunti. Where such men do not exist already, it is difficult to introduce them now, but the rate of Annas (4 seers) does not seem to be too heavy to pay for them.

5. None of the complaints have gone to the stage of enforcement of levy by coercive process. The petitions received by the Jamabandi officers or Amildars are disposed of usually by compromise and payment and nothing more is heard of them. As it is possible however that these petitioners may be afraid of —

repeating

repeating their complaints even
when they have received no redress
whatever, I have requested the Asst.
Dars to send a quarterly list of all
such complaints noting the results
in each case to the Deputy Commrs.
office hereafter.

6. In conclusion, I would apologise
for delay in submitting this reply,
as I was under the impression that
my letter quoted above had dis-
posed of the reference and I had
really nothing to add to what I
had stated therein.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

A. Macnaughten

Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

1324

Draft Letter &c. &c.

79

Section Revenue
File No 57-Salt
Serial No 12

15th June 1899

No 3336/1033 dated 15th June 1899 from the Dy Commr, Kolar District, reporting that the Talavars of the Chintamani Town claim miras in accordance with the Scale sanctioned in Govt Pro: No 3336/Q.62 dated 8. 12 Aug: 1893, that the order does not seem to have hitherto been applied to the case of Servants in Municipal Towns, and soliciting instructions as to whether under Govt Pro: No. 1663-76/R 537 dated 8.12.97 the Mohatarfa payers in Municipalities should be required to pay miras to Talavars employed therein.

Order thereon:—

The Dy Commr of Kolar is requested to ascertain and

(8222)

ARH/94

✓ int. P.C.

No 3665-72/R7-52-97 8/15/11/99

report what the practice is in this respect in the other Minor Municipalities of his District.

2. The Dy Commrs of other Districts, to whom copies of the letter read above will be forwarded, are also requested to report the practice obtaining ~~in this respect~~ in the Municipalities of their respective Districts.

M

13/11/96

For Secretary

To
The Dy Commr
Kolar District
returning the two papers
" Dy Commrs of other Districts

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 3346
NOV. 24 1899
No. 189
From Under Secretary to Govt.

R1793

1899.900

Section 2R
File No 5-12
Serial No 12

174

Under Secretary to the Govt. of Bengal Genl. and Rev. Depts.

Dated 18th

Despatched 21st } November 99

Received

General No. 447
No.

Enclosure No.

Soliciting instructions as to whether in the case of such lands on which two crops viz: Kartik and the Vaisakhi, are raised, Nuzrana at double the ordinary rate is intended to be recovered from the ryots concerned.

R 22/11/99

Submitted with draft reply on office note.

30.11.99

No: 447

Trichinopoly District

Deputy Commissioner's Office

Cause Tyadigam dated. 3rd November

1899

To

The Secretary to the Government

of Mysore

General and Revenue Department

Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to the Rules for regulating the payment of water to Tanks, Kalwais and Irrigation by sluices, published with Notification No. 1677 / R. 540 dated 10th August 1899, I have the honour to solicit instructions as to whether in the case of wet lands, on which two crops, viz, the Paruthi and Kaivalikai, are raised, water at double the ordinary rates is intended to be recovered from the ryots concerned.

2. Another point upon which I beg to be instructed is, whether the payment of arrears for more than one year may be enforced as revenue demand. Under Section 71 of the Land Revenue Code, Inferior holders of land are to pay rent or land revenue due by superior

2

inferior holders, with the assistance of Government only if the application is be made within the first year and within the year of tenancy in which the rent or land revenue became payable. I would recommend a similar rule being laid down in the case of the recovery of Suits due to village Servants.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most Obedient Servant

M. Narain Rao

Deputy Commissioner

Hadagalli

Tumkur

L.A. No. 3850
D. 207

(80)

189 9-1900

From Deputy Commissioner of Kadur

Section 2K
File No 31-97
Serial No 14

To The Secretary to the Government of Mysore Genl. & Rev. Dept.

Dated 11th
Despatched 16 } December 1899
Received

General No. 378
No.

Enclosure No.

Stating that no taluvar is entertained in this District except at Kadur and Yedahalli where the village talwars work in addition to their duties without any extra remuneration.

R 13.12.99

8.28

LR4194

Kadur District Deputy Commissioner's office
Chikmagalur 11th December 1899.

From the Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

To The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Depts.

Sir

With reference to Government order No 3665-72 - R. F 52-97 of the 15th November 1899 in re the practice of remunerating the talwars in municipal towns, I have the honor to state that no talwar is entertained in the municipal towns of this District except at Kadur and Yédahalli where the village talwars are in addition to their duties in the villages, working in those municipal towns without any extra remuneration.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant

Deputy Commissioner
of Kadur

RD.

L 1058

Gl. No. 4312

9-3278

57-97

15

2

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No. 506

No. 289 on

Enclosure No

Regarding the pay-
-ment of increased ta
salaries by Secretaries
Legislative and Municipalities

Rev
5/1/00

Secretary to Revenue

Sec
6/1/00

Ref.

5·8·

No. 5-9 D

Kabar Residents Office.
Date 2nd January 1900

To
The Secretary to the
Government of Nyasaland
General and Despatch
Department

Sir.

Adverting to Government
Proceedings No 3665 dated 27th
R.7.52 97
November 1899 requesting to report the
particulars obtaining as to the
payment of moneys to Chiefs by
Mchatanga, Nyasa Trading Co. Ltd.
the Administrators of the District
Shire Highlands &c. &c. &c.
I, as
the, undersigned does not obtain any
any Remuneration by the District
Capitation Receipts, nor does
her Majesty's Government
Mchatanga pays.

Shire Highlands &c.
Sir,
Your most obt. Servt.
C. Cardinall
Vice President

P.

Draft as proposed

Section: Land
File No: 61-97
Serial No: 16

(75)

Read:- Letter No. 447 dated 18th November 1899 from the Dy. Commr., Trichur stg. for soliciting instructions as to whether in the case of wet lands on which Karthika and Vaichakha crops are raised, miras at double the ordinary rates is intended to be recovered from the Ryots concerned under the Rules published with Notfn. No. 1677 & 10th Aug: 1897. R. 5740

(82 18)

144/94

No. 1765 of 1/11/1900
R. 7752-97-98

Order thereon:-

The Govt observe that the Rules on the subject are clear, under which Miras is regulated on the acreage under cultivation and not on the crops Single or double

raised on it.

2. The other ~~question raised~~ question raised by the Dy Commr as to whether payment of arrears of Mines due for more than one year may be enforced as a Revenue Demand, is under the consideration of Govt.

88. 4/1/00

For Secretary

To.

The Dy Commr

Tumkur Dt

4487

R 2400

1899-1900.

Section
File No 61-97
Serial No 127

FROM The President of Municipalities Shimoga District

To The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore Genl: & Rev: Departments

Dated 11th January 1900.

Despatched

Received

General No. 606

No. 47

Enclosure No.

(82)

(82.25)

LR 4/94

LR 4-94-25

With reference to Govt Proceedings No. 3665-72 dated R. 7. 52-97 15th November 1899, stating the existing practice in the payment of Inams to the Tolies and Talaries work in the Municipalities of Shimoga District.

Rev:
15/1/1900

Refd:

Fo 606

Office of the President of Municipalities
Shemoga District.

Dated, 11th January 1900.

To.

The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore.
General and Revenue Departments

Sir,

With reference to Government Proceedings No 3665-72 dated 15th November
R.T. 52-97
1899, requesting me to report, regarding the existing practice in the payment of Inams to the Toties and Talaris working in the Municipalities of the Shemoga District, I have the honor to state as follows:—

2 In the Shemoga Head quarter Municipality, there are no Toties or Talaris, and all the work is carried on by the servants employed by—

by the Municipality. In the municipality of Shikarpur three classes of servants exist and they help the Patels and Shanbogs in the Mohatarfa Collection work. The Patels and Shanbogs receive a commission of 2 per cent on the Collection they make, but Talatis and Talaris do not receive anything for the work from the municipality.

3. In the towns of Kumsi, Sankigur Holeonnur, Chinmagur, Honnali and Nyamti and Sirakoppa, Patels and Shanbogs collect the Mohatarfa Tax, by the help of the Talatis and Talaris, who are not paid anything for their work.

4. In the Mahomedan Municipalities of Sorab, Sagar, Nagar, Kallimarte and Tirthalli, there are no Talatis

~ —

or Salaries pr existence.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

your most obedient Servant

A. Wenjumduzya

President of Municipalities

Shumopa District.

5072

R-2727

1899-1900

OFFICE

From The Deputy Commissioner Tumkur District.

Section

Diary No 81-97

Serial No 187

To The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore, Genl and Revs Depts.

Dated 8th

Despatched 9th } February 1900.

Received

General No. 622

No.

82-26

LR4/94

84

Enclosure No.

Govt Proceedings No 3665-72 dated
R.F 52-97

15th November 1899.

Reporting in the Subject of payment of Wages to Talwars in Municipalities by Mohatarfa payers etc

R
12.2.1900
Mly Rep:
SS

Deputy Commissioner's office
Tumkur District.
Tumkur 8th February 1900.

No

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, General and Revenue
Department.

Sir,

With reference to Government Proceedings No: 3665-72 / R.F. 52-97 dated 15th November 1899 calling for a report on the subject of payment of miras to Talwars in Municipalities by Mohatarfa payers, I have the honor to state that in all the Municipalities of this District except Huliyar and Turuvekere Talwars are paid Miras at the rate of one anna per rupee by the Mohatarfa payers. In Turuvekere and Huliyar no such payment is made.

2. It is reported that the mira wherever it is levied, is collected by the Shanbogue and paid to the Talwar, but no record seems to have been kept of the payments so made, nor is a receipt given by the Shanbogue to the person who pays the miras.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
your most obedient Servant
[illegible]
for Deputy Commissioner

914

5136

R 2759

1899-1900

(85)

(82 7)

LR 4/94

Section

File No

Serial No T6

FROM The Deputy Commissioner Bangalore Dated

To The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore

Dated 13th

Despatched 14th

Received February 1900

General No.

D No. 750.

Enclosure No.

Govt No. of 366-72 of the

R.F. 52-97

15th November 1899.

Reports that no mercantile is paid to Talavars by the Inhabitants or Payers in any of the Municipalities of this District.

R

152-00

S.S

D. No. 750.

Bangalore District
Dy. Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 25th February 1900.

To,

The Secretary
to the Government of Mysore
Financial Revenue Department.

Sir,

With reference to Government Proceedings No. 3665-72 dated 15th (R.F. 52-97) November 1899 calling for a report re the practice obtaining in the Municipalities of this District as regards the payment of miras to Talavaras, I have the honor to state that no miras is paid to Talavaras by the Mohatarfa payers in any of the Municipalities of this District.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

[illegible]
Dy. Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Encs

5286

Aug 28 98

189

Section Prog

File No 97/[illegible]

Serial No 201

8428

LR4/94

To The Secretary to the Govt.
Surveyor General, General
and Revenue Depart.
- Perth.

Dated 20th February 1900

Despatched

Received

General No. 783
No.

Enclosure No.

Referring to the practice
obtained in this Office
regarding the payment
of Rural Salaries
by Instalments, papers in
the General Reminiatrix

R
22.2.00

SS

No. 783

Enclosure no. 1 dated 20th February 1899.

Sir,

The Secretary to the Government of Bengal, General and Revenue Department.

I am directed to forward a copy of the Proceedings noted in the margin, and to request that the Secretary of State's sanction may be accorded to the proposal that the Inchcape Lazaretto, in the Sundarbans, may be declared a quarantine station, and that the services of the Lazaretto establishment may be employed in the Sundarbans for the purpose of preventing the landing of persons from vessels arriving from infected ports, as well as for the purpose of preventing the escape of persons

Lessees Lands in the Municipalities of Kamenitza Nagra and Krishnaja Peri. In virtue of the rate of one Annajee Anjee for Mohatrja Lots is laid by Mohatrja, Lawes in the Municipalities of the District as fixed in Government Order no. 3335-62 dated 15th August, 878 and no. 1647/R640 dated 8th August 1887. The Lots are assessed in Municipal Town and Villages in the shape of Jowa and Adiyam for the cultivators, Raising in some of the Municipal Towns such as Mahadra Devana Kote, Penner Lecki, etc; they are not exempted from paying House-tax in Syndicy Peri and Municipal they enjoy only Lessees Lands in Penner Lecki and in several other Municipal Towns, they receive nothing either from the cultivators or from Mohatrja, Lawes.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient Servant

G Masthan

Pt. President of Municipal Council

Mutsoor District

JAR




2966

176

Section 2R2

File No C1-97

Serial No 21

From The Asst Commissioner
Tumkur District

To The Secretary to the Govt of
Mysore, Genl and Revs Depts.

Dated 23rd } February 1900.
Despatched 28th }

Received

General No. 677.
No.

Enclosure No.

Sr 19

2R4/94

Govt Order no. 4765
R. 752-97 of the
6th January last.

Under the circumstances represented
Solicits instructions as to whether
the services of the Thoti and the
Talari in connection with the
watch and ward of the village
should also be paid minas for
Vaisakhi Cultivation etc.

Rec
2/3/00
Ref

Note Cont'd and
Submitted

SS.

Tumkur District
Deputy Commissioner's Office
Camp Kibbanahalli
Dated 23rd February 1900.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments

Sir,

With reference to para 1 of Government order No. 4765 / R.7-52-97 of the 6th January last, I beg to state that if all the three classes of minor village servants entitled to Inams under Notification No. 1677-R 540 dated 10th August 1897, are to be paid Inams also for the second or Vaisakhi cultivation carried on in wet lands, in addition to what they take on the first or Karthik crop, the payment would press rather heavily on the ryots concerned. The second payment to the Nirganti may be justified

justified by the fact of his having had to attend to the irrigational requirements of the ryot for the Vaisakhi crop, but it appears to me that the Joti and the Jalari have no claim whatever for additional miras for the second cultivation in the same year. The services of the Joti and the Jalari in connection with the watch and ward of the village are, in my opinion, sufficiently remunerated by a single annual payment of miras. I therefore solicit instructions as to whether these two classes of servants should also be paid miras for Vaisakhi cultivation, the calculation being of course made upon the acreage under cultivation.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

Venkatarama Rao
Deputy Commissioner

Encl

Draft copy

Section
File No
Serial No

(11) 83

Read again Government Proc
No 4365-0 dated 6th Jan
No RE 52-97-98
1900, laying down that
under the Rules at the
subject, Meria is regu
on the acreage under
cultivation and not on
the crops raised or
double raised on it.

Read:- Letter No 677 date
23rd February 1900,
from the Dy. Comm
Tanjore with reference to
Tanjore 24, stating
that of all the classes
of minor village servan
viz, Cooke, Talaiari and
Nargatti are to be pa
Meria also for the 2nd
of Vaisakhi cultivati
carried on on wet land
in addition to that
they take on the first
or Kartike crop, the pay
-ment would press
rather heavily on the
ryots concerned and
the

requesting instructions
as to whether the Zoti and
Plain should also be
paid Muras for Vaisakhi
cultivation as in the
case of the Kirgandi,
whose claim to second
payment is justifiable
on the ground of his
having to attend to
the original require
ments of the ryots for
the Vaisakhi crops.

---

Order thereon:— No 6974
R.T. 52-97 29/3/00

The Govt cannot
understand what led
the Deputy Commr to
make this reference.
The Govt order distinct
ly says that the Muras
is not to be regulated
upon the number of
times a piece of land
may be cropped. There
is thus no double Muras
to be paid to any class
of village servant.

28/3/00
Secretary

41

R2629

Ex Cases

Please refer to R 3529.

Letter by hand on 11/4/00

(87)

11/4/00

Ref: Corr: R. F. 52 97 Treaty Draft

Section

To

Serial No

GENERAL POST OFFICE
Gl. No. 621
APR 10 1900
FROM R 3528

Dated
Despatched
Received
General No. 669
No.

Enclosure No. none

The Secretary to the Postmaster General Melbourne

General Post Office April 1900

(R.29)

LRW/94

In reply to the S665-32 of 15-11-99
R.F. 52-97

Reporting the practice obtained in the Districts as regards the payment of Mrs & Palawan by Inhabitants Lazaros in Municipalities &c

S. S. etc E.

2 Hawaii etc Letters

10.4.00 Replies

Nos 2

1 el

4/4/00

No. 669.

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Hazara District.
Dated, Hazara, 7th April 1900.

From,

The Deputy Commissioner,
Hazara District.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
General and Rev. Depts.

Sir,

Adverting to Government
Proceedings No. I. No. 3665-72 Dated 5th
R. T. 52-97
November 1899, and subsequent
references calling on me to report
the progress obtaining in the
Municipalities of this District
in regard to the payment of
Minas to Talwars by the
Mohammedan payers of the Munici-
-palities, I have the honor to
state that existing Hazara
Town there are 3 municipalities
— cipalities

Municipalities in the District.

2 —— The Mohatarfa collection of the Nazrana from Municipality is connected with the appointment of Tahsildars who under Government Proceedings No. 1[illegible] 61 P.T.-204 R.T. 127-97 Dated 17th November 1898, are exempted from the payment of house tax. Ariège, Bélin and Hôte Varsha have no Tahsildars but only Tahsildars Ankalgid, Kanamni and Basa. Vafatia have Tahsildars and also Kamathali and Banawar. The rest of the Municipalities have Tahsildars only.

3 —— In all the above Municipalities, neither Tahsildars nor Talatis get any Inam from the Mohatarfa, save for

for the assistance rendered in the collection work. —

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Chas. Cummings
for Daniel Lamont
Chas. Emmet

Section LR
File No 57-9
Serial No 9

8.30
LR4/94

1899 APR 14 1900

From The Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug District

To The Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore Genl
and Rev. Depts
Bangalore

Dated 8th April 1900

Despatched 11th

Received

General No. 722

No. 688

Enclosure No.

Reporting the practice
obtaining in the Munici-
palities of this Distt
in regard to the payment
of minas to the Talvars
and Potis.

Rev: 7.6
13/4/1900

16/4/00

Deputy Commissioner's office
Chitaldrug District
Dated Chitaldrug,
8th April 1900
Recd 11th Do ——

No. 722 Ene 11
686

To

The Secretary to the Govern-
-ment of Mysore, General
and Revenue Depart-
-ments - Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to Pro-
-ceedings of Government
no. 3665-72 dated 15th
November R. 752-97 last requesting me
to report the practice
obtaining in the Municipa-
-lities of this District in
regard to the payment of
-rants to the Talwars and
-otis, I have the honor to
state that on receipt of the
above order, the Amildars
and the Deputy Amildars
were

were called upon to report the practice prevailing in the matter in the Municipalities lying within their Talukas and Sub-Talukas.

2. The replies received from them shew that in some municipalities, such as Dawangere and Jagalur the Jotis and Talwars receive no miras of any kind from mohatarfa prayers; while in a few other municipalities, such as Holalkere and Holeyur, the payment of miras to these men was not being made prior to 1898-99 in the former and prior to 1897-98 in the latter. It would appear that in Holalkere, both the Jotis and Talwars begged the mohatarfa prayers in 1898-99 to pay them some miras, whereupon

Some

some of them are stated to have paid those servants the miras of one anna per rupee of the mohatwfa amount and the rest have not paid. In Holowey, a sum of Rs 11-6- was recovered from a few mohatwfa payers at the rate of one anna per rupee and paid to Talwars in 1897-98 but in 1898-99, the payment of miras was refused altogether by the mohatwfa payers. In Bagur, the Begars receive Aya in accordance with the old miras system. In Harihar, Honigne, and Molkalmuru towns, the Talwars receive miras at rates varying from 1 to 4 annas, the rate obtaining in Harihar is one anna per rupee, the payment being restricted

restricted only to persons ~
paying Mohatwfa tax. In
Hwiyne, the Miras is paid
only by weavers and ~
Shop-keepers at-0—2—4
per loom or per shop as the
case may be. In Molkalmuru
the Talwars are paid one—
anna per loom by weavers
and 4 annas by every ~
tradesman; while the Totis
receive only the skins of
dead cattle belonging to
Mohatwfa payers. The
Totis in the two former ~
Municipalities, Hanchan
and Hwiyne do not receive
any kind of remuneration.
In Challekere, there are—
only Talwars and no Totis.
The former obtain Miras
at- 0—2- 4 from every ~
Shop-keeper and 0—1—2
from every weaver. In
Madebennur, the Talwars
used to receive from ~
Agriculturists

-Agriculturists 12 seers of
grain-per plough-prior to
the establishment of a
Municipality there, but-
from the time the Municipa-
-lity was established in
this place, -payment has
been refused. In Chilaw
which is the Head Quarter
Municipality of the District,
the Mohatwa-payers were
18 or 19 years ago in the
-habit of -paying monies
to Toths and Talwars al-one
anna-per rupee on the
Mohatwa amount they
were paying to the -
Municipality; but of late,
this practice appears to
have gradually disappeared
altogether. It is stated
that as a result of the
disappearance of this
practice, the Talwars -
entirely gave up attending
to any Government work, while
on

on the other hand, the Jotis have been rendering their services only on special occasions.

3. It will thus be seen that a diversity of practice prevails in respect of the payment of mirasi to village servants.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
[illegible]
Deputy Commissioner

Draft as per orders

Read:-




(97)

letter No 106 dated 6th August 1899 from the Dy Commr, Tumkur Distt; submitting for orders a Petition presented by Sivakamaiya, holder of the Kayemgutta village of Sulekuppé in the Huniyal Taluk intimating his intention to introduce into his village the rules relating to the payment of miras to Jotis, Talavars & Virgantes in Govt villages, issued under Notfn: No. 1677 & 10.8.97. R-540

(843)

KRM/RM

No 3280 8.10.99
R7-32-99-98 18

Order thereon:-

Write that a Copy of this

~~With reference to the~~ be forwarded to each of the above, the Dy Commrs of ~~the other Districts concerned~~ with the request that ~~requested to report~~ the practice obtaining in their Districts together with their opinion in the matter. be submitted to Govt as early as possible

7/10/00

For Secretary

To.
The Dy Commrs of Districts
(Except Tumkur)

Draft orders

89

Section Article
File No 3rd Letter No 1066/15.6.1894
Serial No 217
from the 3rd Commr, Kolar

District, Soliciting orders as to whether the claim of the Talavars of the Chittaranni town for recovery of fines from Mohatrafa-buyers (as per Scale sanctioned in the late Chief Commr's Proc: No. 3335 R-62 of 18.8.1879 which do not appear to have been hitherto applied to the case of such Servants in Municipal towns, may be entertained, & payment enforced under the rules contained in Proc: No. 1668-76 R-537 of 10.8.97, although their legitimate work is minimized by the employment of Regular Police and other Municipal Rev: Servants.

Letter No. 500 of 2d Jany 1900 from the same officer reporting the practice obtaining in other Municipal towns of the district. Also the following reports from the other 2nd Commrs

as to the practice obtaining in the Municipalities of these Districts:-

| | No. | Date | From |
|---|---|---|---|
| (1) | 378 | 11.12.99 | D.C. Kadur. |
| (2) | 606/47 | 11.1.1900 | D.C. Shimoga. |
| (3) | 704 | 25.1.1900 | D.C. Mysore. |
| (4) | 622 | 8.2.1900 | D.C. Tumkur |
| (5) | 750 | 13.2.1900 | D.C. Bangalore. |
| (6) | 783 | 20.2.1900 | D.C. Mysore |
| (7) | 669 | 7.4.1900 | D.C. Hassan. |
| (8) | 722/688 | 8.4.1900 | D.C. Chitaldroog. |

---

No. 3329-36 of R.T. 52-97.8

20.10.00

Order thereon:-

The reports read above show that there has been a diversity of practice in the matter in the several Districts. In the Municipalities of the Bangalore, Hassan, Shimoga and Kadur Districts the Talavars, wherever they are employed, get no miras from Mohatarfa-payers. In the Bagepalli Municipality of the Kolar District, and

in all the Municipalities of the Tanjore District except Kadaiyam and Tirumullaivasal, mirasi is paid to Talaiyaris by Mohatanfa-payers at one anna per rupee. In the Vedaraniyam District the rate of mirasi paid to Talaiyaris employed in some of the Municipalities ranges from 1 to 4 annas per rupee. In the Ellapuram District, the Talaiyaris employed in the Municipalities of Chinna-mayinagar and Kulitalai enjoy inam lands, & in other Municipalities they get no mirasi from Mohatanfa-payers.

2. The Existing practice need not be extended except with the permission of Govt, that is to say - No such Emoluments as are to be paid by mirasi should be employed in the Municipal towns where at present there are none. But if

there are such servants already employed, their services cannot be dispensed with & they must be paid the manual rates, where they are ascertainable, & if not according to the rates prescribed in the Proge of Govt Nos 1668-76/R.537 & 10.8.1897.

3. The question raised as regards the Talavaras & the Chunitamans Comes, should be dealt with in accordance with the above directions.

Print

V. Venkoba Rao
For Secretary

To.
The Dy Commrs of Districts.

Copd 24/10/00.

READ —

Letter No. 5156—1083, dated 15th June 1899, from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar district, soliciting orders as to whether the claim of the talavars of the Chintamani town for recovery of *miras* from mohatarfa-payers, as per scale prescribed in the late Chief Commissioner's Proceedings No. 3335—R. 62, dated 1st August 1878, which do not appear to have been hitherto applied to the case of such servants in municipal towns, may be entertained, and payment enforced under the rules contained in Proceedings No. 1668-76—R. 537, dated 10th August 1897, although their legitimate work is minimized by the employment of Regular Police and other Municipal Revenue servants.

Letter No. 500, dated 2nd January 1900, from the same Officer reporting the practice obtaining in other municipal towns of the district.

ALSO—The following reports from the other Deputy Commissioners as to the practice obtaining in the Municipalities of their Districts :—

| No. | Letter No. | Date. | From whom. | District. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| (1) | 378 | 11-12-99 ... | Deputy Commissioner | Kadur. |
| (2) | 606-47 | 11-1-1900 ... | Ditto | Shimoga. |
| (3) | 704 | 25-1-1900 ... | Ditto | Mysore. |
| (4) | 622 | 8-2-1900 ... | Ditto | Tumkur. |
| (5) | 750 | 13-2-1900 ... | Ditto | Bangalore. |
| (6) | 783 | 20-2-1900 ... | Ditto | Mysore. |
| (7) | 669 | 7-4-1900 ... | Ditto | Hassan. |
| (8) | 722-688 | 8-4-1900 ... | Ditto | Chitaldrug. |

No. 3329-36—R. F. 52-97, DATED BANGALORE, 20TH OCTOBER 1900.

ORDER THEREON—The reports read above show that there has been a diversity of practice in the matter in the several districts. In the Municipalities of the Bangalore, Hassan, Shimoga and Kadur districts, the talavars, wherever they are employed, get no *mirasi* from mohatarfa-payers. In the Bagepalli Municipality of the Kolar district, and in all the Municipalities of the Tumkur district, except Huliyar and Turuvekere, *mirasi* is paid to talavars by mohatarfa-payers at one anna per rupee. In the Chitaldrug district, the rate of *mirasi* paid to talavars, employed in some of the municipalities, ranges from 1 to 4 annas per rupee. In the Mysore district, the talavars employed in the Municipalities of Chamrajnagar and Krishnarajpete enjoy inam lands, and in other municipalities they get no *mirasi* from mohatarfa-payers.

2. The existing practice need not be extended except with the permission of Government; that is to say, no such servants as are to be paid by *miras* should be employed in the municipal towns where, at present, there are none. But if there are such servants already employed, their services cannot be dispensed with and they must be paid the *mamul* rates, where they are ascertainable and, if not, according to the rates prescribed in the Proceedings of Government No. 1668-76—R. 537, dated 10th August 1897.

3. The question raised as regards the talavars of the Chintamani town, should be dealt with in accordance with the above directions.

C. SREENIVASIENGAR,
*Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.*

To—The Deputy Commissioners of Districts.

Exd.—H.A.R.

# 1900-1901

# GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

## REVENUE

## PROCEEDINGS

*Dated 20th October 1900*

No. 3329-36
R. F. 52-97

Issuing instructions regarding the payment of *Mirasi* to Talavars by Mohatarfa-payers in Municipal Towns.

SBP—29-10-1900—200